

July 19, 2022 (Revised October 10, 2022)

Ms. Elizabeth Most Project Manager Architectural Vision Group, LTD. 23850 Sperry Drive Westlake, Ohio 44145

Re: Report of Geotechnical Services

Proposed New Westside PK-3 Elementary School

Massillon Junior High School Site

250, 29th Street NW

Massillon, Stark County, Ohio PSI Project No.: 0142-2571

Dear Ms. Most:

Per your request, Professional Service Industries, Inc. (PSI) is pleased to submit this Geotechnical Engineering Services Report for the above referenced project. The results of this exploration, together with our recommendations, are to be found in the accompanying report.

After the plans and specifications are complete, PSI should review the final design and specifications in order to verify that the earthwork and recommendations are properly interpreted and implemented. It is considered imperative that the geotechnical engineer and/or its representative be present during earthwork operations and foundation installations to observe the field conditions with respect to the design assumptions and specifications. PSI will not be held responsible for interpretations and field quality control observations made by others.

If you have any questions pertaining to this report, please contact our office at (216) 447-1335. PSI would be pleased to continue providing geotechnical services throughout the implementation of the project, and we look forward to working with you and your organization on this and future projects.

Respectfully submitted,

PROFESSIONAL SERVICE INDUSTRIES, INC.

Stephanie A. Pell, E.I.

Geotechnical Project Engineer

Alagaiya Veeramani, P.E. Principal Consultant

Subsurface Exploration Report



For the Proposed

New Westside PK-3 Elementary School 250 29th Street NW Massillon, Ohio 44647

Prepared for

Architectural Vision Group, LTD. 23850 Sperry Drive Westlake, Ohio 44145

Prepared by

Professional Service Industries, Inc. 5555 Canal Road Cleveland, OH 44125

PSI Project No. 0142-2571

St. april

Stephanie A. Pell, E.I. Geotechnical Project Engineer

Alagaiya Veeramani, P.E. Principal Consultant

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROJE	CT INFORMATION	1
1.1	PROJECT AUTHORIZATION	1
1.2	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	1
1.3	PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF SERVICES	1
SITE A	ND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS	2
2.1	SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION	2
2.2	SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS	2
2.3	GROUNDWATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS	3
EVALU	JATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	4
3.1	SITE PREPARATION AND EARTHWORK CONSTRUCTION	4
3.2		
3.3	FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATIONS	
3.4	FLOOR SLAB DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION	6
3.5	PAVEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS	6
3.6	DETENTION POND	8
CONST	TRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS	8
4.1	GROUNDWATER CONTROL AND DRAINAGE	8
4.2	EXCAVATIONS	
4.3	WEATHER CONSIDERATIONS	9
GEOTE	ECHNICAL RISK	10
REPOR	RT LIMITATIONS	10
	1.1 1.2 1.3 SITE A 2.1 2.2 2.3 EVALU 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 CONST 4.1 4.2 4.3 GEOTI	1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION 1.3 PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF SERVICES SITE AND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS 2.1 SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION 2.2 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS 2.3 GROUNDWATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS EVALUATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS 3.1 SITE PREPARATION AND EARTHWORK CONSTRUCTION 3.2 ENGINEERED FILL 3.3 FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATIONS 3.4 FLOOR SLAB DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION 3.5 PAVEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS 3.6 DETENTION POND CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS 4.1 GROUNDWATER CONTROL AND DRAINAGE 4.2 EXCAVATIONS

LIST OF APPENDICES

BORING LOCATION PLAN
FENCE DIAGRAM
BORING LOGS
GRAIN SIZE GRAPH
ATTERBERG LIMIT RESULTS
GENERAL NOTES & USCS SOIL CLASSIFICATION CHART



1 PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 PROJECT AUTHORIZATION

This report presents the results of a geotechnical subsurface exploration and evaluation conducted for Architectural Vision Group, LTD., in connection with the proposed New Westside PK-3 Elementary School located at 250 29th Street NW, in the City of Massillon, Stark County, Ohio. PSI's services for this project were performed in accordance with PSI Proposal No. 0142-374410, dated May 20, 2022. Authorization to perform this exploration and analysis was in the form of a Proposal Authorization Form, signed by Ms. Elizabeth Most, Project Manager, of Architectural Vision Group, LTD., dated May 23, 2022.

Additional test borings and evaluation for this project were performed in accordance with a PSI Addendum Proposal, dated September 14, 2022. Authorization to perform this exploration and analysis was in the form of a Proposal Authorization Form, signed by Mr. Murtaza Abbas, Project Manager, of Architectural Vision Group, LTD., dated September 14, 2022.

1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Based on the provided information, it is understood that the proposed development will include the construction of a new elementary school building to be located northeast of the existing Massillon Junior High School. The proposed building will be a single story, slab on grade structure, measuring approximately 60,000 to 80,000 square feet in plan area. Additionally, the project includes the construction of paved parking lots and driveways. The proposed development will include the construction of an eleven (11) feet deep detention basin on the south side of the site.

Based on the structural loading information provided in the AIA Document the maximum column and wall loads for the school building will be 70 kips and 3 kips per linear foot. However, PSI assumes the following for the maximum floor load will be 100 pounds per square foot (psf), respectively.

No site grading or topographic plan was provided at the time of this report. However, based on the Stark County GIS, the overall site slopes downward from north to south, with an elevation difference of approximately 31 feet (1097' MSL to 1066' MSL). Based on the Stark County GIS, within the footprint of the proposed building there is an elevation difference of about 10 feet (1094' MSL to 1084' MSL). The maximum cut and fill operations of less than 4 feet will be required for the proposed building area, about 7 feet of cut and fill will be required for the detention pond, and some cut/fill as required will be anticipated within the proposed pavement area.

The geotechnical recommendations presented in this report are based on the available project information, the proposed building location and orientation of the building on the site, and the subsurface materials described in this report. If any of the information we have been given or have assumed is incorrect, please contact us so that we may amend the recommendations presented accordingly. PSI will not be responsible for the implementation of its recommendations when it is not notified of changes in the project.

1.3 PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF SERVICES

The purpose of this study was to explore the subsurface conditions at the site and to prepare recommendations for foundations, floor slab construction, site preparation, and other construction considerations. Our scope for this



service included a project site reconnaissance, drilling and sampling twenty-four (24) test borings, completing a laboratory testing program, and submitting an engineering analysis and evaluation of the subsurface materials.

The scope of services for the geotechnical exploration did not include an environmental assessment for the presence or absence of wetlands or hazardous or toxic materials in the soil, surface water, groundwater or air, on or below or around this site. Any statements in this report or on the boring logs regarding odors, colors or unusual or suspicious items or conditions are strictly for the information of the client. PSI's scope also did not include any service to investigate or detect the presence of moisture, mold or other biological contaminants in or around any structure, or any service that was designed or intended to prevent or lower the risk of the occurrence or the amplification of the same. The Client should be aware that mold is ubiquitous to the environment with mold amplification occurring when building materials are impacted by moisture. The Client should also be aware that site conditions are outside of PSI's control, and that mold amplification will likely occur, or continue to occur, in the presence of moisture. As such, PSI cannot and shall not be held responsible for the occurrence or reoccurrence of mold amplification.

2 SITE AND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

2.1 SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

The site for the proposed New Elementary School project is located at 250 29th Street NW, in the City of Massillon, Ohio. Specifically, the proposed New Elementary School will be located immediately northeast of the existing Massillon Junior High School (Lat: 40.788106° & Long: -81.561072°).


The site is currently covered with grass. Based on the Stark County GID, the overall site slopes downward from north to south, with an elevation difference of approximately 31 feet (1097' MSL to 1066' MSL). Based on the Stark County GIS, within the footprint of the building there is an elevation difference of about 10 feet (1094' MSL to 1084' MSL). Surface drainage was good to fair at the time of the field drilling operations. PSI recommends that any existing utility lines be checked and marked prior to construction activities.

2.2 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The surface and subsurface conditions at the site were explored with a total of twenty-four (24) test borings. The test borings were each drilled to a depth of approximately 10.0 to 20.0 feet below the existing surface grades. The approximate boring locations are shown on the Boring Location Plan presented in the *Appendix* of this report. The locations for the test borings were selected by PSI and located in the field relative to existing site features and based on site accessibility and the presence of below ground utilities.

The borings were advanced utilizing 3½ inch inside diameter, hollow-stem auger drilling methods. Soil samples were routinely obtained during the drilling process. Selected soil samples were later tested in the laboratory to obtain soil material properties for the foundation, floor slabs and pavement recommendations. Drilling, sampling, and laboratory testing were accomplished in general accordance with ASTM procedures.

The types of subsurface materials encountered in the test borings have been visually classified. The results of the visual classifications, Standard Penetration tests, moisture contents and water level observations are presented on the boring logs in the *Appendix* of this report. Representative samples of the soils were placed in sample jars and are now stored in the laboratory for further analysis, if requested. Unless notified to the contrary, all samples will be disposed of after 60 days following the date of this report.





The surface of the site at test boring locations B-01 through B-18 and B-20 through B-24 was covered with a layer of topsoil measuring approximately 3.0 to 9.0 inches in thickness. The surface of the site at test boring location B-19 was covered with a layer of asphalt measuring approximately 4.0 inches in thickness and underlain by sand and gravel base measuring approximately 9.0 inches in thickness. The thickness and composition of the surface materials should be expected to be variable throughout site.

Underlying the surface material at test boring location B-11, a layer of fill material was encountered, extending to the depth of approximately 4.0 feet below the existing grade. The fill material consisted primarily of lean clay with varying amounts of gravel, roots, organics and glass fragments. The fill material exhibited moisture contents ranging from 24 to 27 percent. The cohesive fill materials exhibited a medium stiff consistency, based on the Standard Penetration tests.

The surface material or fill material at all the test boring locations B-01 through B-24, were underlain by natural soils. The natural soils at the test boring locations B-03, B-05, B-06, B-08, B-10, B-12, B-13, B-16 through B-20, B-23, and B-24 were extended to the depths of about 3.5 to 14.5 feet below the existing surface grades and the natural soils at the test boring locations B-01, B-02, B-04, B-07, B-09, B-11, B-14, B-15, B-21 and B-22 were encountered to the terminal depth of about 10.0 to 20.0 feet below the existing surface grades. The natural soils consisted primarily of lean clay, silty clay, silt, and clayey sand with varying amounts of sand, gravel and rock fragments. The natural soils exhibited moisture contents ranging from 9 to 25 percent. The natural cohesive soils exhibited a soft to hard consistency, and the natural granular soils exhibited a very loose to very dense relative density, based on the Standard Penetration tests.

The area's bottommost formation consisted of extremely soft to very soft, brown mottled gray to gray, highly weathered shale bedrock, encountered in test boring locations B-03, B-05, B-06, B-08, B-10, B-12, B-13, B-16 through B-20, and B-23. Additionally, in test boring location B-24 the area's bottommost formation consisted of extremely soft, brown, highly weathered sandstone bedrock.

The subsurface description is of a generalized nature provided to highlight the major strata encountered. The boring logs included in the *Appendix* should be reviewed for specific information at the individual boring locations. The stratifications shown on the boring logs represent the conditions only at the actual test positions. Variations may occur and should be expected between the boring locations. The stratifications represent the approximate boundary between the subsurface materials, and the transition may be gradual or not clearly defined.

2.3 GROUNDWATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

Groundwater was encountered in test boring locations B-06, B-13, B-20, B-21, B-23, and B-24 at depths ranging from 6.0 to 18.5 feet below existing surface grade during the field drilling operations, and in test boring location B-24 at a depth of 5.0 feet below existing surface grade after the field drilling operations. Note that groundwater levels fluctuate seasonally as a function of rainfall. During a time of year or weather different from the time of drilling, there may be a considerable change in the water table. Furthermore, the water levels in the boreholes often are not representative of the actual groundwater level, because the boreholes remain open for a relatively short time. Therefore, we recommend that the contractor determine the actual groundwater levels at the time of construction to evaluate groundwater impact on the construction procedures.



3 EVALUATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

3.1 SITE PREPARATION AND EARTHWORK CONSTRUCTION

Prior to placing concrete floors or engineered fill on this site, general site area clearing should be carried out. All asphalt, base, topsoil, grass, roots, excessively wet soils, highly organic soils, and soft/loose or obviously compressible materials, should be completely removed from the proposed construction areas. Additionally, the unsuitable fill material, as evidenced at all test boring location B-11, should be completely removed from the proposed building footprint and to a minimum depth of 12 inches below the proposed pavement subgrade elevations and replaced with compacted engineered fill. The precise extent of required cut and fill should be determined in the field by a representative of PSI following observation of the exposed subgrades and proof rolling operations.

Following the site clearing, stripping and undercutting, and prior to placing engineered fill, the exposed subgrades should be critically proof rolled with a loaded 20-ton tandem-axle dump truck until the grade offers a relatively unyielding surface. Areas of excessive yielding, as observed by a geotechnical engineer's representative, should be excavated and backfilled with compacted engineered fill and/or the unstable soils can be stabilized by choking the exposed bearing surface with crushed limestone or similar coarse aggregate. After the existing subgrade materials are excavated to design grade, proper control of subgrade compaction and the placement and compaction of new fill materials should be observed and tested by a representative of PSI.

It is recommended that the site preparation, proof rolling, and earthwork activities should be performed during a period of dry weather, which can significantly reduce the required extent of soil stabilization, drainage and surface repairs.

During site preparation, fill piles, burn pits, trash pits or other isolated disposal areas may be encountered. All too frequently such buried material occurs in isolated areas outside boring locations. Any such material encountered during site work, or foundation, floor slab or pavement construction should be excavated, removed from the site, and backfilled with compacted structural fill.

3.2 ENGINEERED FILL

Materials selected for use as engineered fill should not contain more than 5 percent by weight of organic matter, waste construction debris, or other deleterious materials. Fill materials should have a Standard Proctor maximum dry density (ASTM D-698) greater than 110 pounds per cubic foot (pcf), an Atterberg Liquid Limit of less than 40, a Plasticity Index of less than 15, and a maximum particle size of 3 inches or less. Engineered fill materials should consist of non-expansive materials. Pyritic and/or potentially expansive materials, such as mine tailings, shales and slag should not be used as engineered fill material.

Based on the results of the boring explorations, the on-site soils are suitable for reuse as engineered fill. If the on-site soils are used for fill, close moisture content control will be required to achieve the recommended degree of compaction. PSI anticipates that disking and aerating the soils during a warm, dry period may be necessary to lower the moisture content. If engineered fill placement must proceed during a wet or cool time of the year, it may likely be infeasible to re-use the on-site soils as engineered fill and imported fill materials would be required. If wet or cool season earthwork is necessary, we recommend the use of imported fill materials such as ODOT No. 304 or 411 crushed aggregate.



Representative samples of the proposed fill materials should be collected at least one week prior to the start of the filling operations. The samples should be tested to determine the maximum dry density, optimum moisture content, particle size distribution and plasticity characteristics. These tests are needed to determine if the material is acceptable as structural fill and for quality control during the compaction process.

Engineered fill materials should be placed and compacted in individual lifts of 8 inches or less loose measurement. Within small excavations such as in utility trenches, around manholes, or behind retaining walls, we recommend the use of smaller, hand- or remote-guided equipment. Loose lift thicknesses of 4 inches or less are recommended when using such equipment.

We recommend that structural fill be compacted to a minimum of 98 percent of the maximum dry density and within $\pm 2\%$ of the optimum moisture content, as determined by ASTM D-698. A representative of PSI should observe fill placement operations and perform density tests concurrently to indicate if the specified compaction is being achieved.

3.3 FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the test boring results, laboratory test results, and the proposed construction, our analysis indicates that the proposed building structure can be supported on isolated and/or continuous spread-footing foundations, bearing on the existing natural soil or on properly compacted engineered fill, will be suitable to support the proposed building structure. An allowable bearing capacity of 2,500 psf may be utilized for the design of the spread-footing foundations.

All perimeter footings must be placed at a minimum depth of 42 inches below the finished grade in order to protect against frost action. Interior foundations in heated areas may be placed at a depth of at least 18 inches below the floor slab, provided they will be bearing on acceptable natural or compacted engineered fill soils.

Extreme care should be taken to prevent weakening of the foundation bearing materials because of prolonged atmospheric exposure, construction activity disturbance or an increase in moisture content. If an overnight delay in concrete placement is anticipated, the foundation excavations should be cut approximately 6 inches and subsequently excavated to final grade immediately before placement of concrete.

In order to reduce the effects of differential movement that may occur due to variations in the character of the supporting soil and any variations in seasonal moisture contents, it is recommended that all continuous footings be reinforced, as per structural considerations. Foundations supporting individual columns should have a minimum dimension of 24 inches, and continuous wall foundations should have a minimum width of 18 inches.

Based on the assumed structural loads, it is anticipated that total and differential foundation settlements will be less than 1.0-inch and 0.50-inch, respectively. However, actual settlements will be dependent upon the depth of the foundations, column spacing, structural loads and other related factors. The structural and architectural design should include provisions for liberally spaced, vertical control joints to minimize the effects of potential settlement.

PSI should be retained to provide observation and testing of construction activities involved in the foundation, earthwork and related activities of this project. PSI cannot accept responsibility for conditions that deviate from those described in this report, nor for the performance and testing for this project.



Based on table 1615.1.1 of the OBC Building Code, the test boring results, and review of the geology in vicinity to the project area, a **Site Classification of 'C'** can be utilized for the seismic design.

3.4 FLOOR SLAB DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

Preparation of floor slab subgrades should be in accordance with the recommendations outlined in the *Site Preparation* and *Engineered Fill* sections of the report. If subsurface materials at the finished subgrade elevations exhibit excessive moisture contents and unstable subgrade conditions, then undercutting and replacement of the objectionable soils should be performed to achieve firm subgrade support. Alternatively, the unstable soils can be stabilized by choking the exposed bearing surface with crushed limestone or similar coarse aggregate.

After the soils in the building area have been prepared as discussed, it is recommended that the subgrade surface be subjected to surface compaction to the extent that a minimum of 24 inches of materials underlying the slab subgrade elevation achieve a minimum in-place density of 98 percent of the maximum laboratory dry density and should be within \pm 2 % of the optimum moisture content, as determined in general accordance with ASTM D-698.

A capillary gravel layer (such as AASHTO #57 or ODOT #304) should be provided between the floor slab and the approved subgrade materials. The gravel layer should have a minimum thickness of 6 inches and should be properly compacted. Also, a vapor barrier is recommended below the floor slab as per ACI specifications. We recommend that a subgrade modulus (k) of 80 pci be used in floor slab design calculations.

Careful field control is to be exercised in finish grading operations in order to assure that subgrade tolerances are maintained. It is particularly important that no low sectors or depressions be allowed to exist within these areas, water may accumulate and lead to serious loss of supporting capacity.

The floor slab should be suitably reinforced, as per structural considerations, to make it as rigid as practical. Proper joints should be provided at the junctions of the slab and foundation system so that a small amount of independent movement can occur without causing damage. Large floor areas should be provided with joints at frequent intervals to compensate for concrete volume changes during curing and temperature changes.

3.5 PAVEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Pavement design will include proper preparation of subgrade sectors, careful design of the pavement area drainage systems and utilization of an aggregate base course with asphalt concrete or concrete surface course. Preparation of pavement subgrades should be in accordance with the recommendations outlined in the *Site Preparation* and *Engineered Fill* sections of the report. Careful attention will be required in fine grading the subgrade surfaces in order to eliminate undulations and depressions that would tend to collect water.

We recommend that the exposed surface be proof rolled, and any soft areas removed. Compaction of fill soil intended to support pavement should meet or exceed 98% of the maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D698 (Standard Proctor). The moisture content at the time of compaction should be within 2% of the optimum value. Any removed soil should be replaced by compacted structural fill to arrive at the desired grade.

The proposed pavement construction will be primarily for car and bus traffic. No traffic loading information was provided at the time of this report. However, PSI has assumed average daily traffic (ADT) of about 150 cars, 30



buses, and 2 semi-trucks. Based on the anticipated pavement design information, the following pavement design parameters may be utilized for new pavement design:

Design Parameters												
	Flexible Pavement	Rigid Pavement										
Light Duty design 18-kip ESAL's	50,000	50,000										
Heavy Duty design 18-kip ESAL's	200,000	200,000										
Reliability:	80%	80%										
Overall Deviation:	0.49	0.39										
Design Life (Years):	20	20										
Initial Serviceability:	4.5	4.2										
Terminal Serviceability:	2.5	2.5										
Design CBR	3											
Subgrade Modulus (k, pci)		80										

Flexible Pavement

The recommended pavement thickness values are shown in Tables 1 and 2. These design thicknesses assume that a properly prepared subgrade has been achieved.

Table 1: Flexible Pavement Sections (20-Year Design Life)

	Light-Duty*	Heavy Duty
Surface Course (ODOT #448 Type 1)	1.5 inches	1.5 inches
Intermediate Course (ODOT #448 Type 2)	2.5 inches	3.5 inches
Aggregate Base Course (ODOT #304)	7.0 inches	9.0 inches

^{*}Parking spaces only

For parking stalls that allow free movement through them (i.e., no parking block or curbs), we recommend installing the heavy-duty asphalt section. Allowances for proper drainage and proper material selection of base materials are most important for performance of asphaltic pavements. Ruts and birdbaths in asphalt pavement allow for quick deterioration of the pavement primarily due to saturation of the underlying base and subgrade.

Rigid Pavement

The use of concrete for paving has become more prevalent in recent years due to the long-term maintenance cost benefits of concrete compared to asphaltic pavements. Should concrete pavement be utilized, the concrete should be properly reinforced and jointed, and should have a 28-day flexural strength of no less than 650 psi and should be air entrained. Expansion joints should be sealed with a polyurethane sealant so that moisture infiltration into the subgrade soils and resultant concrete deterioration at the joints is reduced.

Table 2: Rigid Pavement Sections

	Light-Duty*	Heavy Duty
Reinforced Concrete	5.0 inches	7.0 inches
Aggregate Base Course (ODOT #304)	5.0 inches	6.0 inches

^{*}Parking spaces only



The portions of the site where rigid (concrete) pavements are recommended include the entrance/exit driveway aprons and the dumpster pad enclosure area. A heavy-duty pavement section is recommended for lanes designated for delivery trucks. Crushed aggregate base materials should be compacted to at least 98% of the standard Proctor (ASTM D 698) maximum dry density near optimum moisture content. The use of Portland cement concrete (PCC) for paving has become more prevalent in recent years based on material costs for concrete vs. bituminous and the long-term maintenance cost benefits of concrete compared to bituminous pavements. If PCC pavement is utilized, the concrete should be properly jointed, have proper load-transfer mechanisms installed, and should have a minimum 28-day compressive strength of 4,000 psi. Expansion and construction joints should be sealed with a polyurethane sealant so that moisture infiltration into the subgrade soils and resultant concrete deterioration at the joints is minimized. Concrete pavement at least 8 inches thick is recommended for the trash dumpster pad and entrance/exit aprons due to the high wheel and impact loads that these areas experience.

Design for drainage is of the utmost importance to minimize detrimental effects that may shorten the service life of the pavements. The pavement should be crowned or sloped in order to promote effective surface drainage and reduce the risk of water ponding. We recommend a minimum slope of 1.5 percent. In addition, the subgrade should be similarly sloped to promote effective subgrade drainage. We recommend "stub" or "finger" drains be provided around catch-basins and in other low areas of the proposed pavements to limit the accumulation of water on the frost susceptible subgrade soils. Subsurface edge drains should be provided at curbs. Where no curbs are proposed, ditches should be provided, and the pavement base course should be daylighted through the ditch side slope to facilitate drainage of the base course.

If fill material is needed to establish the required pavement grade, fill placement and compaction must be performed in accordance with the procedures outlined in the *Site Preparation* section of this report. The edges of compacted fill should extend a minimum 2 feet beyond the edges of the pavement, or a distance equal to the depth of fill beneath the pavement, whichever is greater.

3.6 DETENTION POND

The proposed detention pond that will be located on the southeastern portion of the site (borings B-23 and B-24) will be utilized to temporarily retain water for short periods of time. The test boring results indicate that the subsurface formation in this area generally consists of lean clay to depths of about 9.0 to 11.5 feet, underlain by shale (B-23) and sandstone (B-24) bedrock. The detention pond embankments should be excavated and established at a slope no steeper than 1V:3H for long term stability.

4 CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

4.1 GROUNDWATER CONTROL AND DRAINAGE

Groundwater was encountered in test boring locations B-06, B-13, B-20, B-21, B-23, and B-24 at depths ranging from 6.0 to 18.5 feet below existing surface grade during the field drilling operations, and in test boring location B-24 at a depth of 5.0 feet below existing surface grade after the field drilling operations. Therefore, groundwater and/or seepage could be encountered during foundation excavation and construction. Accordingly, a gravity drainage system, sump pump or other conventional dewatering procedure, as deemed necessary by the field conditions, should be implemented throughout construction such that the groundwater is always controlled and maintained at an elevation of at least 2 feet below the excavation bottom. Every effort should be made to keep the excavations dry if water is encountered.





Water should not be allowed to collect near the foundation or floor slab areas of the building either during or after construction. Undercut or excavated areas should be sloped toward one corner to facilitate removal of any collected rainwater, groundwater or surface runoff. Positive site drainage should be provided to reduce infiltration of surface water around the perimeter of the building and beneath the floor slab. Overall site area drainage is to be arranged in a manner such that the possibility of water impounding below slab-on-grade areas and over the structural fill is prevented.

4.2 EXCAVATIONS

In Federal Register, Volume 54, No. 209 (October 1989), the United States Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) amended its "Construction Standards for Excavations, 29 CFR, Part 1926, Subpart P." This document was issued to better ensure the safety of workers entering trenches or excavations. It is mandated by this federal regulation that all excavations, whether they be utility trenches, basement excavations or foundation excavations, be constructed in accordance with the new OSHA guidelines. It is our understanding that these regulations are being strictly enforced. If they are not followed closely, the owner and the contractor could be liable for substantial penalties.

The contractor is solely responsible for designing and constructing stable, temporary excavations and should shore, slope, or bench the sides of the excavations as required to maintain stability of both the excavation sides and bottom. The contractor's "responsible person" as defined in "CFR Part 1926," should evaluate the soil exposed in the excavations as part of the contractor's safety procedures. In no case should slope height, slope inclination, or excavation depth, including utility trench excavation depth, exceed those specified in local, state, and federal safety regulations.

We are providing this information solely as a service to our client. PSI is not assuming responsibility for construction site safety or the contractor's activities; such responsibility is not being implied and should not be inferred. If the excavations are left open and exposed to the elements for a significant length of time, desiccation of the clays may create minute shrinkage cracks which could allow large pieces of clay to collapse or slide into the excavation.

Materials removed from the excavation should not be stockpiled immediately adjacent to the excavation, inasmuch as this load may cause a collapse of the embankment.

4.3 WEATHER CONSIDERATIONS

The soils encountered at this site are known to be sensitive to disturbances caused by construction traffic and to changes in moisture content. During wet weather periods, increases in the moisture content of the soil can cause significant reduction in the soil strength and support capabilities. Care should be exercised during the grading operations at the site. Due to the fine-grained nature of the surficial soils, the traffic of heavy equipment, including heavy compaction equipment, may very well create pumping and a general deterioration of those soils in the presence of water. Therefore, the grading should, if possible, be performed during a dry season. A layer of crushed stone may be required to allow the movement of construction traffic over the site during the rainy season. The contractor should maintain positive site drainage and if wet/pumping conditions occur, the contractor will be responsible to over excavate the wet soils and replace them with a properly compacted engineered fill. During wet seasons, limestone stabilization may be required to place engineered fill.



5 GEOTECHNICAL RISK

The concept of risk is an important aspect of the geotechnical evaluation. The primary reason for this is that the analytical methods used to develop geotechnical recommendations do not comprise an exact science. Site exploration identifies actual subsurface conditions only at those points where samples are taken. A geotechnical report is based on conditions that existed at the time of the subsurface exploration. The analytical tools which geotechnical engineers use are generally empirical and must be used in conjunction with engineering judgment and experience. Therefore, the solutions and recommendations presented in the geotechnical evaluation should not be considered risk-free and, more importantly, are not a guarantee that the interaction between the soils and the proposed structure will perform as planned. The engineering recommendations presented in the preceding sections constitute PSI's professional estimate of those measures that are necessary for the proposed structure to perform according to the proposed design based on the information generated and referenced during this evaluation, and PSI's experience in working with these conditions.

6 REPORT LIMITATIONS

The recommendations submitted in this report are based on the available subsurface information obtained by PSI and design details furnished by Architectural Vision Group, LTD. If there are any revisions to the plans for the proposed structures, or if deviations from the subsurface conditions noted in this report are encountered during construction, PSI should be retained to determine if changes in the recommendations are required. If PSI is not retained to perform these functions, PSI will not be responsible for the impact of those conditions on the geotechnical recommendations for the project.

The Geotechnical Engineer warrants that the findings, recommendations, specifications, or professional advice contained herein, have been presented after being prepared in accordance with generally accepted professional engineering practice in the fields of foundation engineering, soil mechanics and engineering geology. No other warranties are implied or expressed.

After the plans and specifications are complete, it is recommended that PSI be provided the opportunity to review the final design and specifications, in order to verify that the earthwork and recommendations are properly interpreted and implemented. At that time, it may be necessary to submit supplementary recommendations. This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Architectural Vision Group, LTD., for the specific application to the proposed New Westside PK-3 Elementary School located behind the Massillon Junior High School at 250 29th Street NW in the City of Massillon, Stark County, Ohio.

APPENDIX

SOIL BORING LOCATION PLAN


FENCE DIAGRAM


BORING LOGS


GRAIN SIZE GRAPH


ATTERBERG LIMIT RESULTS

GENERAL NOTES & USCS SOIL CLASSIFICATION CHART









DATE STARTED:	6/24/22	DRILL COMPANY:	PSI, Inc.	BORING B-01			
DATE COMPLETED:	6/24/22		GGED BY: SP	ļ			
COMPLETION DEPTH		DRILL RIG: AT		while Drilling N/A ✓ Upon Completion N/A ✓ Caved Depth 5.5 feet			
BENCHMARK:			Hollow Stem Auger	S Caved Depth 5.5 feet			
ELEVATION:	1088 ft 40.788779°	SAMPLING METHOD: HAMMER TYPE:	2-in SS Automatic	BORING LOCATION:			
LONGITUDE:	-81.563453°	EFFICIENCY	93%	Pavement			
STATION: N/A	OFFSET: N/A	REVIEWED BY:					
REMARKS:							
	Samp	RIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS Classification SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS) Moisture, %	STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA N in blows/ft X Moisture PL LL D D D STRENGTH, tsf A Qu X Qp D D D D Additional Remarks			
	7" Topsoil Medium Stiff to S with Sand, Trace Seams	tiff, Moist, Brown, Lean CLAY e Gravel, Trace Interbedded Silt	2-3-4 19 N=7				
- 5	2 16		CL 3-5-7 N=12	* * *			
1080-	3 15		3-3-5 N=8				
- 10	4 18 Medium Dense, I SILT, Trace Grav Seams	Moist, Reddish Brown, Sandy el, Trace Interbedded Clay	ML 4-6-8 N=14 9	* * *			
	Professiona	I Sanjiga Industrias Inc.		-CCT NO. 0442 2574			
intertek		Service Industries, Inc.		O142-2571			
	5555 Canal Cleveland, (PROJE	•			
N ₂		(216) 447-1335	LOCA	DCATION: 250 29th Street, NW Massillon, Stark County, Ohio			

DATE			_		(6/24/22		-	OMPANY:		SI, Ir			BORING B-02				
DATE				_		6/24/2		DRILLER		LOGGE								
COME				_		10.0	ft	_	G:				_	Water ∧ i∧ ∴		le Drillin		N/A N/A
BENC						N/A		DRILLIN	G METHOD:	Hollo		m Auger		Mat ▼		n Comp		
ELEV						97 ft			NG METHOD:		2-in		_			ed Dept	n	4.5 feet
LATIT						8744° 62471°			R TYPE:	Aut	omat	IIC	_	BORING Pavement		I ION:		
STAT			V/A		OFFS		N/A	EFFICIE	ED BY:		70 AV		_	- avenie				
REMA	_		W/A		OFF	PE1	IN/A	_ KEVIEVVI	ъвт		1 V		_					
												$\widehat{\wp}$		STANE	ARD P	ENETR	ATION	
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)			RIAL DE	SCRIPTION	1	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	× M	TEST N in blo oisture 2 TRENC	DATA ws/ft ⊚ ⊿	PL LL 50	Additional Remarks
1095—	- 0 - 	<u>x\ </u>		1	17	Loose Grave	el, Trace Int	erbedded Č			ИL	3-5-5 N=10	13	(a)	<			
	 			2	18 <u>7</u>	with Trace	o Very Stiff, Sand , Some Gravel, Tra	e Interbedde	wn, Lean CLA\ ed Silt Seams, agments	Y		4-5-6 N-11	14		<		*	
1090-	- 5 - 			3	15					(CL	N=11 3-5-7 N=12	14	•	< \		>>*	
	 - 10 -			4	1							11-12-9 N=21	13	*				
	:_	-	ا م	_		Pr	nfessiona	l Service	Industries,	Inc		DE	יים וב	CT NO.:			0142-25	71
	Ŋ	tert	œ	•			55 Canal		ii iaasii ies, i	10.	PRO				Nev			
						Cle	eveland,	OH 4412	25					JECT: New West Side PK-3 School ATION: 250 29th Street, NW				
						Te	lephoné:	(216) 44	17-1335				Massillon, Stark County, Ohio					

DATE	STAF	RTED:			6	6/24/22	DRILL COMP		PSI,		BORING B-03				
DATE						6/24/22	DRILLER:	TS	LOGGED BY			L \			N/A
COMP							DRILL RIG:					Water Ā Ā			N/A N/A
BENC ELEV	TIVIAT ATION	(IT). -			10	N/A 98 ft	DRILLING ME SAMPLING M				_	👸 💆		•	11.0 feet
LATIT					40.78		HAMMER TY						LOCATION		
LONG	ITUDE					61559°	EFFICIENCY		000/			Paveme	nt		
STAT	_		I/A		OFFS	SET : N/A	REVIEWED B	Y:	AV						
REMA	RKS:									<u> </u>	1	07.411			
Elevation (feet)	o Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)	MATE	RIAL DESCF	RIPTION	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	× M	TRENGTH,	A ⊚ ✓ PL → LL 50	·
1095				1	16	Stiff to Very Stiff, CLAY, Trace Gra Seams				2-3-6 N=9	19	0	× *		
	- 5 -			2	17					4-8-9 N=17	14				LL = 23 PL = 15 Fines=57.6%
1090			M	3	17	Loose, Wet, Brow Interbedded Clay	n, Sandy SILT , Seams, Trace G	, Some Gravel	ML	2-2-2 N=4	13		<		
	 - 10 - 			4	16 <u>\</u>	Medium Stiff, Moi Sand, Trace Grav	st, Brown, Lear el	n CLAY , Tr	CL	3-4-4 N=8	15		×	÷	€
1085	 - 15 -			5	18	Extremely Soft, Bi Highly Weathered	rown Mottled Gr I	ay, Shale	Ε,	8-26-43 N=69	8	×		>>(
						Desfancion	Coming by								
	intertek Professiona 5555 Canal					Professional 5555 Canal		ustries, I	ITIC.		ROJE	CT NO.:		0142-25 st Side PK	
	Cleveland,						DH 44125					CATION: New West Side PK-3 School 250 29th Street, NW			
						Telephone:							Massillon, Stark County, Ohio		

DATE			_		6	6/21/22	DRILL COMPA		PSI, Inc.				BORING B-04				
DATE				_		6/21/22	DRILLER:		LOGGED BY					nile Drilling		N/A	
						15.0 ft	DRILL RIG:							on Complet	tion	N/A N/A	
BENC ELEV						N/A 98 ft	DRILLING ME SAMPLING M			em Auger n SS		š	_	ved Depth	lion	11.0 feet	
LATIT					40.78		HAMMER TYP	EINOD	Automa				NG LOC			11.0 1000	
LONG						60903°	EFFICIENCY		000/			Paven		A11011.			
STAT			I/A			SET: N/A	REVIEWED BY										
REMA	RKS:																
Elevation (feet)	o Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)		RIAL DESCR	RIPTION	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	× 0	TEST N in bl Moisture STREN Qu	PENETRAT DATA ows/ft P P T T T T T T T T T T T	L L 50	Additional Remarks	
1095—	 			1	15	6" Topsoil Medium Stiff, Mois Sand, Trace Grave		CLAY, Tra	ce CL	2-3-5 N=8	17	Q	×	*			
	 - 5 -			2	17	Very Stiff, Moist, E Sand, Trace Graw		AY, Trace	CL	4-11-11 N=22	13		× >		>> *		
1090-				3	18	Medium Stiff, Mois Sand, Trace Grave		CLAY, Tra	се	2-3-3 N=6	18		×	*			
	 - 10 - 			4	18 <u>\</u>	<u>Z</u>			CL	3-4-4 N=8	16) ×	K	€		
1085—	 - 15 -			5	16	@ 14 ft; Gray				3-3-5 N=8	15	©	× ×	К	€		
							Service Indu	ustries, In	IC.			CT NO			42-257		
						5555 Canal Cleveland, C							New West Side PK-3 School				
						Telephone:		335		L	<i>-</i>	CATION: 250 29th Street, NW Massillon, Stark County, Ohio					
							,				Massillon, Stark County, Ohio						

DATES	STAF	RTED:			6	6/21/22	DRILL COMP	PANY:		PSI, Ir	nc.	BORING B-05					
DATE						6/21/22	_ DRILLER:	TS		ED BY			۰ ر		nile Drillir		N/A
COMPL						14.9 ft	_ DRILL RIG:			CME-5		_			on Comp		N/A N/A
BENCH ELEVA						N/A 93 ft	_ DRILLING MI _ SAMPLING N					_	× ₹		ved Dept		11.0 feet
LATITU						8722°	_ HAMMER TY			Automa		_			ATION:		
LONGI	TUDE	: <u> </u>			-81.5	60205°	EFFICIENCY			93%			Pavem	ent			
STATIC		N	l/A		OFFS	SET: N/A	_ REVIEWED E	BY:		AV							
REMAR	KNO:										<u> </u>		CT AI	JDADD	PENETR	ATION	
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)	МАТЕ	ERIAL DESCI	RIPTION		USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	× 0	TEST N in bl Moisture STREN Qu	DATA ows/ft	PL LL 50	Additional Remarks
	0 -	$\overline{z_{IJ}}$ $\overline{z_{I}}$				6" Topsoil							0		2.0	4.0	
1090-	-			1	15	Medium Stiff to with Sand, Trad		/n, Lean Cl	LAY		1-2-3 N=5	19		×	*		
-	5 -			2	17						4-5-7 N=12	16		•×	*		
1085				3	16					CL	4-6-7 N=13	14		×	*		
-	10 -			4	14 <u>\</u>	<u>7</u>					3-6-7 N=13	14				*	
1080				5	17	@ 13 ft; Gray Extremely Soft, SHALE, Highly	Light Brown Mott Weathered	led Gray,			4-14-50/5" N=64	12 9	×	*		>>@	
	int	cert	ek			5555 Cana Cleveland,		1 4125				PROJECT NO.: 0142-2571 PROJECT: New West Side PK-3 School LOCATION: 250 29th Street, NW Massillon, Stark County, Or			3 School t, NW		

DATE	STAF	RTED:			6	6/21/22	DRILL COMP	ANY:	PSI,		BORING B-06					
DATE						6/21/22	DRILLER:	TS	LOGGED BY		_	7 2		ile Drillin		6.0 feet
BENC						19.3 ft N/A	DRILL RIG: Drilling Me				_			on Comp		N/A
ELEV						95 ft	SAMPLING W					👸 💆	_	ed Dept		12.5 feet
LATIT					40.78		HAMMER TY						G LOC			
LONG	ITUDE	E:			-81.5	60907°	EFFICIENCY		93%			Buildin	9			
STAT	_	N	I/A		OFFS	SET: N/A	REVIEWED B	Y:	AV		_					
REMA	KNS:									- O		CTAN	IDABD I	PENETRA	ATION	
					s)				uo	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)		STAN		DATA	ATION	
feet)	(F	go.	/pe	<u>.</u>	che				licati	ind Ind	%			ows/ft ©	DI	
) uo), (fe)ic L	le T	Je N	y (in	MATE	RIAL DESC	RIPTION	assi) Jer 6	inre,		Moisture	•	PL LL	Additional
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)				USCS Classification	sws g	Moisture,	0		25	50	Remarks
Ele		9	Š	S	Seco				OSN	l Bic	_		STREN	GTH, tsf		
					ш					SPI			Qu		Qp	
	- 0 -	7/1/N .7/				6" Topsoil						0		2.0	4.0	
						Very Stiff, Moist, I	Brown, Lean Cl	_AY , Trace	!	1						
			M			Sand, Trace Grav	el									
				1	13				CL	6-11-7 N=18	16		XP		*	
			U							11-10			/			
						Medium Stiff to S				1			X			
						CLAY, Trace San	d, Trace Gravel					/				
			X	2	17					3-4-3	16	🍕	×	*		
1090	- 5 -									N=7		-				
					_	7										
					Ž	<u>/</u>										
				3	17				CL	2-3-4	16		×	*		
			igstyle igstyle					CL	N=7							
						@ 8.5 ft; Gray										
			X	4	14					3-5-6	15		\times	*		
1085	- 10 -									N=11						
1000	.0															
						Extremely Soft, G	ray, SHALE , Hi	ghly		-						
		鶈				Weathered	,									
		茎			Ζ	Z										
		艺			-											
			М	_	4					45/0"	_					
				5	4					45/6"	5	×			>> @	
4000	4-															
1080	- 15 -															
		ヹ														
			X	6	9					27-50/3"	5	×			>>@	
										1						
	iol	act	tok Professional				Service Ind	ustries, I	nc.	C. PROJE				(0142-257	71
	U 1	5555 Canal					Road	,		ROJE	CT: _		w West S	Side PK-	3 School	
						Cleveland, C		22E		LC	CAT	ION:	N 4 -		th Street	
						Telephone:	(210)447-1	JJJ			Massillon, Stark County, Ohio				лиу, Опю	

DATE					6	6/21/22			COMPA			PSI, I		BORING B-07					
DATE						6/21/22		DRILLI		TS	_	SED BY							
						15.0 f	<u>t</u>		_						te		/hile Drill		N/A
BENC						N/A							em Auger			_	pon Com	•	N/A
ELEV						91 ft		SAMPI	LING M	ETHOD:		2-ir	n SS	_	$\overline{}$		aved Dep		11.0 feet
LATIT LONG						3852° 60203°			ER IYF	PE:		Automa 93%	atic	_	Paven		CATION:		
STAT			/A			60203 SET:	N/A			Y:				_	aven	ICIT			
REMA	_		/A		OFFS	,E1	N/A	KEVIE	NED B	·		AV		_					
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)		Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)		MATER	RIAL D	ESCR	RIPTION	N	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %		N in Moistu	25 +	9 PL 50	Additional Remarks
													SP		0	Qu	2.0	Qp 4.0	
1090	- 0 - 	7.7		1	17	Mediu	6" Topsoil Medium Stiff to Stiff, Moist, Brown, Lean CLAY with Sand, Trace Gravel						3-3-4 N=7	21	O	;	X	>>*	
			\bigvee	2	18								4-7-7 N=14	14		X		>>*	
1085				3	17							CL	3-6-6 N=12	13		X		>> *	
	- 10 -		\bigvee	4	18								5-6-6 N=12	14				>>*	
1080	 15 -			5	18	Very E Trace	Dense, Mois Rock Fragn	t, Brown nents	, SILT v	with Sand	d,	ML	30-35-20 N=55	10		×		>>@	
	inl	tert	Professional						e Indu	ustries,	Inc.				CT NO			0142-257	
		5000 Carlar						405		PROJE					N		Side PK-		
		7					eveland, C			225	LOCAT					OCATION: 250 29th Street, NW Massillon, Stark County, Ohio			
				/		ıeı	ephone:	(210)	44/-1	აან						N	iassiiion,	Stark Col	urity, Onio

	DATE STARTED: 6/23/22 DATE COMPLETED: 6/23/22						DRILL COMPANY: PSI, Inc.						BORING B-08				
							DRILLER:		OGGED BY		!	• 7					
COMP				_			DRILL RIG: _				_	t		ile Drillin			N/A
BENCI						N/A	DRILLING ME				_			on Comp			N/A
ELEVA						91 ft	SAMPLING MI	ETHOD: _	2-in	SS 4:-				ed Dept	<u>n</u>	6.5 1	eet
LATIT						884° 61544°	HAMMER TYP EFFICIENCY		Automa 93%	ILIC		BORIN Pavemo		ATION:			
STATI			√A			SET: N/A	REVIEWED BY				_						-
REMA	_		<i>"</i> ^		.0110	<u> </u>	KEVIEWED DI	'			_						—
Elevation (feet)	Oepth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)		RIAL DESCR	RIPTION	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	× 1	TEST N in blo Moisture STREN Qu	25 GTH, tsf	PL LL 50 Qp	. Temano	
1090	 			1	17	5" Topsoil Stiff to Very Stiff, I CLAY with Grave		andy Silty	CL-ML	3-4-6 N=10	16	<u></u>	×		*		
1085	 - 5 -			2	17	Madium Danas A	daire Drawn Cll	T Comp.		3-9-7 N=16	17		©Z-1)		LL = 23 PL = 19 Fines=66.3%	
			M	3	18	Medium Dense, M Interbedded Clay S Gravel Very Soft, Light Br	Seams, Trace Sa	and, Trace	ML	5-9-16 N=25	13		* '				
		喜	X	4	8	Highly Weathered				40-50/2"	6	×			>>@	Đ	
						Professional		ustries, In	C.			CT NO.			0142-25		-
		5000 Cariai					Road DH 44125				OJE	_	Ne			(-3 School	-
		J.				Telephone:	(216) 447-13	335		LC	DCATION: 250 29th Street, NW Massillon, Stark County, Ohio						
		_		_			, ,										-

DATE	STAR	RTED:			6	6/22/22		DRILL CO	MPANY:		PSI, li				R		NG E	R_N9
	COMI					6/22/22		DRILLER:		_	ED BY		_					
COMP						20.0 ft		DRILL RIG			CME-5		_		_	ile Drillir on Comp	-	N/A N/A
BENC						N/A			METHOD:					 		ed Dept		15.0 feet
ELEV.					40.78	94 ft 8830°			METHOD:		4utoma		_		G LOC			10.0 1001
LONG						60614°			Y		93%	tio		Buildin		~11OI1.		
STAT			I/A		OFFS		N/A		BY:		AV							
REMA	RKS:				_													
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)		MATER	RIAL DES	CRIPTION	N	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	× 0	TEST N in bl	± 25	PL LL 50	Additional Remarks
	- 0 -	71 1. 1				5" Top	nsoil							0	T	2.0	4.0	
				1	16	Mediu			own, Lean C	CLAY		5-7-8 N=15	16		X		>> *	
1090-	- 5 -			2	18							8-6-7 N=13	13		*		>>*	
				3	7						CL	3-5-5 N=10	15	(\times		>>*	
1085—	- - 10 -			4	18							3-4-3 N=7	14		×		>>*	
1080—	 - 15 - 			5	17 <u>\</u>			Moist, Brow Rock Fragm	n, Lean CLA nents	ĀΥ	CL	11-12-12 N=24	11				>>*	
1075—	 - 20 -			6	18							12-16-20 N=36	11) 	<		>>*	
	int	cert	ek			555 Cle	5 Canal veland, C			Inc.		PR	OJE	CT NC CT: TON:	Ne	w West 250 29	th Stree	3 School

DATE	STAR	RTED:	_		6	6/22/22		DRILL	COMP	ANY:		PSI, I					ORIN	NG I	B_10
DATE						6/22/22		DRILLI		TS	_	SED BY			<u> </u>				N/A
COMP						14.0 ft			-			CME-5		_	<u>;</u> <u>‡</u>		nile Drillir on Comp		N/A N/A
BENC ELEV						N/A 92 ft				ETHOD: METHOD:						_	ved Dept		10.5 feet
LATIT						8321°				PE:		Automa					ATION:		10.0 1000
LONG						61246°			IENCY			93%			Building		ATION.		
STATI			I/A		OFFS			REVIE\	WED B	Y:		AV							
REMA	RKS:											ı							
Elevation (feet)	o Depth, (feet)		Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)		TEF	RIAL D	ESCF	RIPTION	I	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	× 0	TEST N in bl Moisture STREN Qu	25 H	PL LL 50	- Remains
	U	777. 7				5" Topsoil Stiff, Moist, B	rowr	Sand	, Silty (CI AV Tra	ice.								
1090	 	1 18 Gravel						i, Gariay	, only c	72 41, 114			5-5-5 N=10	17	© 		•		LL = 23 PL = 17 Fines=50.4%
-	- 5 -										CL-ML	3-5-5 N=10	15	©	×		*	-	
1085	3 18				ft, Bı	rown, S F	HALE, ⊦	Highly			3-4-6 N=10	15	 			***	K		
	 - 10 - 			4	16 <u>Z</u>	Weathered							8-29-50/4" N=79	7	×			>>@	
1080	5 6											55/6"	5	×			>>@		
	int	tert	ek	ζ <u> </u>		Profession			ce Indi	ustries,	Inc.				CT NO			0142-25	
	5555 Canal Road													CAT	ICT: TION:	Ne		Side PK Oth Stree	(-3 School
	Cleveland, OH 44125 Telephone: (216) 447-1335												_\	,-1		Ma			ounty, Ohio

DATE	STAF	RTED:			6	6/21/22	DRILL COMPA		PSI, I				RΩ	RIN	G E	R_11
	COM					6/21/22	DRILLER:		OGGED BY		_					
COM	PLETIC	ON DE	PTI	۱ _		20.0 ft	DRILL RIG: _		ATV CME-5	5	_ 3		While I			N/A
	HMAF	_				N/A	DRILLING ME	THOD:	Hollow Ste	em Auger	_ 3	ਰ ₹	Upon C			N/A
ELEV	ATION	l:				89 ft	SAMPLING ME	ETHOD: _	2-ir	SS	_ L	\$ \ <u>_{\tau}</u>	Caved	Depth		N/A
LATIT	TUDE:				40.78		HAMMER TYP	E:		tic			LOCATION	ON:		
	SITUDE					60109°	EFFICIENCY		93%		_ Bu	ilding				
STAT	_	N	I/A		OFFS	SET:N/A	REVIEWED BY	':	AV							
REMA	ARKS:															
Elevation (feet)	o Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)		RIAL DESCR	IPTION	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	%	× Mc	25 RENGTH	ATA /ft ⊚	PL LL 50	Additional Remarks
				1	17	5" Topsoil FILL: Medium Sti Trace Gravel, Trac Fragments, Trace	ce Roots, Trace		Υ,	2-3-2 N=5	24	0	*×			
1085—	- 5 - - 5 -			2	16	Stiff to Very Stiff, Trace Sand, Trace				2-1-3 N=4	27		* *			
	 			3	18					5-6-8 N=14	14		5	*		
1080-	- 10 - 			4	18				CL	4-6-7 N=13	11 _	X		*		
1075—	 - 15 - 			5	18					6-10-13 N=23	13	×			>>*	
1070—	 - 20 -			6	15	Stiff, Moist, Gray, Rock Fragments	Sandy Lean CL	AY, Some	CL	4-6-8 N=14	10 _	×e			>> *	
	inl	tert	el			Professional 5555 Canal Cleveland, C Telephone:	Road)H 44125) .	PR	OJEC1 OJEC1 CATIC	:	25	/est Si 50 29th	n Street	3 School

DATE			_		6	6/22/22		DRILL COM			PSI, I					RΩ	RIN	NG E	₹-12
DATE						6/22/22		DRILLER:	TS	LOGG									
COMP						9.8 ft		DRILL RIG			CME-5					Vhile I Jpon (N/A N/A
BENC						N/A			METHOD:			em Auger		Š	_	Caved			6.0 feet
ELEV/ LATIT					40.78	89 ft		SAMPLING	METHOD:		2-ir Automa	n SS		BORIN				.1	0.0 1661
LONG						61452°		EFFICIENC			93%	alic		Buildir		CATI	ON.		
STATI			I/A		OFFS				BY:				_						
REMA	_		•// (<u>. </u>				7.10								
Elevation (feet)	O Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)	MA	\TEF	RIAL DES	CRIPTION	٧	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	× 0	TE N in Moist	ST DA	ATA //ft //ft //ft // // // // // // // // //	LL 50	Additional Remarks
	 	Very Stiff, Mi Sand, Trace									CL	3-13-8 N=21	13			©		>> *	
1085	Extremely Sof Weathered					oft, Br	own, SHALE	E, Highly			3-6-16 N=22	10		×		\	***		
-	3 16											20-39-50/4' N=89	8	×				>>@	
1080				4	16							14-36-50/4' N=86		×				>>@	
	inl	tert	:ek	<_				Service Ir	ndustries,	Inc.				CT NO				0142-257	
	intertek Professional Service Industries, Ir 5555 Canal Road Cleveland, OH 44125												ROJE	-	1			Side PK-3	
								(216) 447				LC	JCA	ΓΙΟN:					unty, Ohio
						. 5.56110		\-·=/								. 3.0011	, -		

	STAR		_		- 6	6/22/22	DRILL COMP			PSI, In				P	ORI	NG I	 R-13
		PLETE ON DE				6/22/22 18.9 ft	DRILLER:	TS	-	ED BY:		'	<u> </u>		hile Drilli		18.5 feet
		ON DE RK: _				N/A	_ DRILL RIG: DRILLING ME			CME-55		—			on Com		N/A
		۸۲ ۱:				93 ft	SAMPLING N					—	🕇	_	ved Dep		16.5 feet
	UDE:		_		40.788		HAMMER TY			Automati			BORIN	IG LOC	ATION:		
		E:		_	-81.5	60989°	_ EFFICIENCY	′	(93%		_	Buildir	ıg			
STAT	_		N/A		OFFS	SET:N/A	_ REVIEWED B	3Y:		AV		_					
REMA	KKO.		П								<u></u>	Т	Тетл	*!D^DD	PENETR	ATION	
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)	MATE	ERIAL DESCI	RIPTION	İ	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %		TES N in b Moisture	T DATA lows/ft @	PL LL 50	Additional Remarks
	, ,	'		.	, -	ĺ					SP.		0	Qu	2.0	Qp 4.0	
	- 0 -	7,1%.7,			,	5" Topsoil							10	\top	2.0	7.0	
	 			1	15	Stiff, Moist, Brow Gravel, Trace Ro	n, Sandy Lean ck Fragments	CLAY, Trad	ce		3-4-5 N=9	17	©	—	-		LL = 33 ←PL = 18 Fines=61.8%
1090	 - 5 -			2	15						3-5-5 N=10	15) ×*			
1005	 			3	18					CL	3-3-5 N=8	14	•	×	*		
1085	 - 10 -			4	15						4-4-6 N=10	15	(×		K<<	€
1080	 - 15 -			5	6	Extremely Soft, B Weathered	3rown, SHALE , l	Highly			50/6"	5	×			>>@	
1075—				6	4	<u>Z</u>					50/5"	10	>	<		>>@	
'	iol	tert	<u>ا</u>	_		Professiona	al Service Ind	dustries. I	nc.		PF	ROJE	CT NC).:		0142-25	571
	UI	CIC	.Cr			5555 Canal	l Road					ROJE					-3 School
		7	5			Cleveland,		4005			LC	CAT	TION:			9th Stree	
				"		i elepnone:	(216) 447-1	1335						M	assillon,	Stark Co	ounty, Ohio

DATE STARTED	: _		(8/22/22	DRILL COMPA		PSI, I				R() PII	JC	B-14
DATE COMPLET				6/22/22	DRILLER:		LOGGED BY			• 7				
COMPLETION D					DRILL RIG:				_	<u>t</u>	_	le Drillir n Comp	-	N/A N/A
BENCHMARK:				N/A	DRILLING ME							ed Dept		15.0 feet
ELEVATION: _ LATITUDE:			40.78	91 ft 8051°	SAMPLING MI HAMMER TYP	ETHOD:					G LOCA		.11	13.0 1661
LONGITUDE:				60213°	EFFICIENCY	· E	93%	ilic		Building		i iOiv.		
_	N/A		OFFS		REVIEWED BY				_					
REMARKS:					<u>-</u>									
Elevation (feet) Depth, (feet) Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)		RIAL DESCR	RIPTION	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	× 0	STRENG Qu	DATA ws/ft	PL LL 50	- Remains
1090		1	13	6" Topsoil Soft to Medium S CLAY, Trace Sar	tiff, Moist, Brown nd, Trace Gravel	n, Lean		2-2-3 N=5	14	 © 	×			
- 5 -		2	16				CL	1-2-3 N=5	25		}	€		
 		3	17					1-2-2 N=4 2-2-6	22		×	*		
1080				Stiff to Very Stiff, Trace Sand, Trac Fragments			,	N=8						
- 15 - 1075		5	18 <u>\</u>	_			CL	4-6-6 N=12	16		**************************************	}	*	
- 20		6	5					8-10-13 N=23	13		* •			
inter	tel	< .		5555 Canal Cleveland, (nc.	PR	ROJE	CT NO CT: ION:	Nev	West 250 29	th Stre	(-3 School

DATE	STAF	RTED:			(6/23/22	DRILL COMP	PANY:		PSI, Ir	nc.			R) PIN	NG E	R_15
DATE						6/23/22	_ DRILLER:	TS	LOGGI								
COMF						15.0 ft	_ DRILL RIG:		ATV (le Drillir		N/A
BENC						N/A	_ DRILLING MI						S	_	n Comp		N/A
ELEV						92 ft	_ SAMPLING N						\Box		ed Dept	n	10.5 feet
LATIT						3794° 60694°	HAMMER TY EFFICIENCY			utoma 93%	tic		Pavem	IG LOCA	I ION:		
STAT			/A		OFFS		REVIEWED E			AV		_					
REMA	_		<i>111</i> \		.0		_ 1\2\12\12\12\12			711		_					
Elevation (feet)	Oepth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)		ERIAL DESCI	RIPTION	J	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	× 0	N in blo	DATA ws/ft	PL LL 50 Qp	Additional Remarks
1090				1	16	_ 3" Topsoil Medium Stiff to S CLAY, Trace Sa	Stiff, Moist, Brow nd, Trace Grave	/n, Lean			3-2-2 N=4	23	0	**			
	2 16									CL	3-4-6 N=10	18		×	*		
1085	3 12										3-5-6 N=11	15		×	*		
	- 10 -			4	17 <u>\</u>	Z					3-4-4 N=8	15		×	*		
1080			M	5	18	Very Stiff, Moist, Sand, Trace Gra	, Brown, Lean C evel	LAY, Trace	e	CL	9-9-12	11		×		*	
	- 15 -										N=21						
	int	ert	ek	(_			al Service Ind	dustries, l	Inc.				CT NC			0142-25	
	Professional Service Industries, Industrie											ROJE	_	Nev			3 School
			5				OH 44125 : (216) 447-1	1335			LC	JCA1	TION:			th Stree	t, NW unty, Ohio
						i cicpitotie.	. (210) 77/-	1000						IVIA	Joinoll, C	Jan O	unty, Onio

COMPLETION DEPTH BENCHMARK: N/A BENCHMARK: N/A BENCHMARK: N/A BENCHMARK: N/A DRILLING METHOD: LONGTUDE: 40.787864* HAMMER TYPE: Automatic LONGTUDE: -81.56149° STATION: N/A OFFSET: N/A REMARKS: AV REMARKS: AV AV AV AV AV AV AV AV	DATE ST					6	6/23/22	_ DRILL COMP		PSI, I				R	ORII	NG F	₹-16
BENCHARK: NA DRILLING METHOD: Hollow Stem Auger Let Name NA Control 1086 ft SAMPLING METHOD: 2-in St. 2-i							6/23/22	_ DRILLER:					<u> </u>				
LATTIUDE								_				_	를 를 구 -				
LATTIUDE												_	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	_			
ENGINE SI SI SI SI SI SI SI S								_ SAMPLING M	IEIHOD: _	Z-Ir						.11	7.0 1661
STATION: NA OFFSET: N/A REVIEWED BY: AV REMARKS: STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA Na blooked Station Nature N			.—								alic				ATION.		
STANDARD PINITRATION Test India No No No No No No No N				/A				_				_					
1085		_		,, ,				_ 1\21121125		7.0							
1085			Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)		:RIAL DESCF	RIPTION	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)		× 1	TEST N in blo Moisture	DATA DWS/ft © DWS/ft © DWS/ft © DWS/ft STH, tsf	PL LL 50	
1080	1085—		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1	17	Very Stiff, Moist Interbedded Silt			CL		9	×	<u></u>		>>*	
## Professional Service Industries, Inc. Style="block-right: 15px;">5	- !	2 18 SHALE, High							ottled Gray,			5	×			>>@	
Professional Service Industries, Inc. 5555 Canal Road Cleveland, OH 44125 PROJECT NO.: PROJECT NO.: PROJECT NO.: PROJECT: New West Side PK-3 School LOCATION: 250 29th Street, NW	1080—										24-50/5"	5	×			>>@	
5555 Canal Road PROJECT: New West Side PK-3 School Cleveland, OH 44125 LOCATION: 250 29th Street, NW	_			X	4	10	@ 8 ft; Gray				26-50/4"	6	×			>>@	
5555 Canal Road PROJECT: New West Side PK-3 School Cleveland, OH 44125 LOCATION: 250 29th Street, NW		4 10															
Cleveland, OH 44125 LOCATION: 250 29th Street, NW	į	int	ert	ek	(_				ustries, In	C.							
					1								_	ive			
			J.						335					Ма			

DATE	STAF	RTED:			6	6/23/22	DRILL COMP	PANY:		PSI, li	nc.			B		NG B	2_17
DATE						6/23/22	DRILLER:	TS	LOGG				• T				N/A
COMP						14.3 ft	DRILL RIG:					_	Water 7		hile Drillin on Comp		N/A N/A
BENC ELEV						N/A 83 ft	DRILLING ME SAMPLING M					_	👸 🔻	-	ved Dep	•	11.0 feet
LATIT					40.78		HAMMER TY			utoma		_		_	ATION:		
LONG	ITUDE					60767°	EFFICIENCY			93%			Building	3			
STAT	_	N	/A		OFFS	SET: N/A	REVIEWED B	BY:		AV		_					
REMA	RKS:												T				
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)		RIAL DESCI	RIPTION	I	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	× 1	TES N in b Moisture	e _ ₂₅ NGTH, tsf	PL LL 50	Additional Remarks
	- 0 -	$\frac{1}{N_f N_c} \cdot \frac{1}{N_f}$				8" Topsoil											
1080-	 			1	16	Stiff to Very Stiff, with Sand, Trace Fragments	Moist, Brown, L Gravel, Trace I	Lean CLAY Rock	(3-4-4 N=8	16	©	×	*		
	2 18									CL	3-4-5 N=9	16	<u> </u>	×	*		
1075—	3 18										5-11-12 N=23	10	×			>>*	
	- - - 10 -		\bigvee	4	18	Extremely Soft, B Weathered	rown, SHALE , i	Highly			16-23-42 N=65	8	X			>>@	
1070—			X	5	10						22-50/4"	8	×			>>@	
	iol	ert	OL OL			Professiona	I Service Ind	lustries. I	Inc.		PR	OJE	CT NO.	:	-	0142-257	'1
	יו ט	.C1 (C P			5555 Canal	Road				PR	OJE	CT: _		ew West	Side PK-3	3 School
						Cleveland, (OH 44125	1005			LO	CAT	ION:			9th Street,	
	Cleveland, OH 44125 Telephone: (216) 447-1335													Ma	assillon,	Stark Cou	inty, Ohio

DATE			_			3/23/22	DRILL COMPAN	Y:	PSI, I				BC)BIN	G E	2-18
DATE						6/23/22	DRILLER:	<u>s</u> L0	OGGED BY			• 7				
						14.3 ft	DRILL RIG:					Water 7	∠ While	Drilling		N/A
BENC						N/A	DRILLING METH				_	Xat		Comple		N/A
ELEV						81 ft	SAMPLING MET	HOD:	2-in	i SS			-	d Depth		9.5 feet
LATIT					40.78		HAMMER TYPE:		Automa	tic		BORING Paveme	G LOCAT	TION:		
LONG						60547°	_ EFFICIENCY _		93%		_	raveille	#11L			
STATI REMA	_	N	I/A		OFFS	SET: N/A	REVIEWED BY:		AV		_					
INCINIA	inno.									(i)		CTAN	DARD PE	NETDA	TION	
Elevation (feet)	Oepth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)		RIAL DESCRIF	PTION	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	× 1	TEST [N in blow Moisture 25 STRENG Qu 2.0	DATA vs/ft	PL LL 50	Additional Remarks
		$\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}$. $\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{4}$				9" Topsoil										
1080				1	14	Medium Stiff, Mc Sand, Trace Gra	ist, Brown, Lean C i vel	LAY, Trace	CL	1-3-4 N=7	23	Q	*			
	2 17 Trace Sand, T					Stiff to Very Stiff, Trace Sand, Trac	Moist, Brown, Lea ee Gravel	n CLAY,		4-8-17 N=25	13		×	1	>> *	
1075									CL	4-6-8 N=14	14				>> *	
1070	 - 10 - 		M	4	17 <u> </u>	Trace Rock Frag	wn, Lean CLAY , Traments Brown, SHALE , High		CL	6-11-20 N=31	13		×			
	Weathered									22-50/3"	9	×			>>@	
	int	cert	el	.		Professiona 5555 Canal Cleveland,		tries, Inc		PR	OJE	CT NO. CT: _	New	West S	142-257 ide PK-3	3 School
		1				Telephone:	(216) 447-133								inty, Ohio	

DATE STA	ARTE): _		6	3/23/22	DRILL COMP	ANY:		PSI, I				R	ORII	NG E	R-19
DATE CO					6/23/22	DRILLER:	TS	LOGG				1 7				
		RK: N/A DRILLING N: 1085 ft SAMPLII				DRILL RIG:			CME-5		_			ile Drillir on Comp		N/A N/A
						DRILLING ME						💆 🕹	_	ed Dept		10.5 feet
LATITUDE	_								2-in automa				G LOCA			10.5 1661
LONGITUE	_				60989°	EFFICIENCY			93%	itiC		Building		ATION.		
STATION:	-	N/A		OFFS		REVIEWED B			AV							
REMARKS	S:			_												
Elevation (feet) O Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)		RIAL DESCF	RIPTION	J	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	× 0	TEST N in blo Moisture STRENG Qu	PENETR. DATA DWS/ft © DWS/ft © GTH, tsf #2.0	PL LL 50	Additional Remarks
"	900	20			4" Asphalt 9" Sand/Gravel B	Raso										
-			1	15	Stiff to Very Stiff, with Sand, Trace Seams, Trace Ro	Moist, Brown, L Gravel, Trace I	ean CLA\ nterbedded	Y d Silt		5-7-6 N=13	18		Φ×		>> *	
1080 5			2	16					CL	3-4-5 N=9	14		×	<i>;</i>	*	
-	-		3	17	Extremely Soft, Bi Weathered	rown, SHALE , F	Highly			5-9-12 N=21	16		\times		*	
1075—10			4	18	<u>Z</u>					15-35-54 N=89	8	×			>>@	
-			5	12	@ 13.5 ft; Gray					25-52	8	×			>>@	
ir	nter	tel	k.		Professional 5555 Canal Cleveland, (Telephone:	Road DH 44125		Inc.		PR	OJE	CT NO CT: CION:	Nev	w West 250 29	0142-257 Side PK-3 Oth Street Stark Cou	3 School


		RTED:	_			6/23/22		DRILL C				PSI, li					BC)RIN	IG I	B-20	
	DATE COMPLETED: 6/23/22 [COMPLETION DEPTH 14.7 ft [BENCHMARK: N/A [ELEVATION: 1082 ft \$				DRILLER				ED BY		_		∇		e Drillin		13.5	foot			
				_						100				_		Ţ		: Compl			N/A
										HOD: THOD: _			em Auger n SS		🝣	Ī	•	d Depth		9.0	
	TUDE:				40.78			HAMME	R TYPE	:		Automa			-		_OCAT				1001
	SITUDI					60555°		EFFICIE	NOW			93%		_	Paver						
STAT	ION:_	N	I/A			SET:	N/A	REVIEW				AV									
REMA	ARKS:				-																
Elevation (feet)	O Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)			RIAL DE	SCRIF	PTION		USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	× 0	N Moi	in blow sture	vs/ft © TH, tsf	PL LL 50	remarks	
1080-	 			1	15	7" Top: Stiff, M Gravel	oist, Brown	ı, Sandy L	ean CL	AY with			6-4-6 N=10	11		X		-		LL = 35 PL = 19 Fines=53.0%	
	- 5 - - 5 -			2	16								3-5-5 N=10	12			*				
1075—	 		XII	3	16 \18	<u>7</u>						CL	3-4-5 N=9 5-5-5	16			*				
1070—	- 10 - 												N=10								
				5	13	Extreme Weathe	ely Soft, Bri	own, SHA	LE, High	ıly			7-19-50/2" N=69	17 9		× :	×		>>@		
			Ш.			Desf	ioneie = =!	Com de la	المحا	trics !-									140.5		
	in	tert	:el	<mark>٠</mark>)			essional 5 Canal I		Indus	tries, in	IC.			OJE	CT N	O.:	New)142-25 Side PK	571 -3 School	-
5555 Canal Road Cleveland, OH 44125									TION:				th Stree		-						
Cleveland, OH 44125 Telephone: (216) 447-1335														ounty, Ohio	_						

	STAR		_		6	6/24/22	DRILL COMPANY:	PSI, Ir		_		BORI	NG	B-21
	COMI					6/24/22		LOGGED BY:		_	• \ \			
		ETION DEPTH 10.0 ft MARK: N/A FION: 1075 ft DE: 40.786667°		_ DRILL RIG:			_		While Drill		9.3 feet			
							_ DRILLING METHOD: _	Hollow Ste		_	Water			N/A 6.0 feet
							SAMPLING METHOD:	2-in Automat		_		-		0.0 leet
	ITUDE:					62166°	HAMMER TYPE:	93%	IIC	_	BORIN Paveme	G LOCATION: ent		
STAT			I/A			SET: N/A	REVIEWED BY:	Δ\/						
	ARKS:		W/A		_0110)LI	_							
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)	MATE	RIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	× 1	STRENGTH, ts	PL LL 50	remaine
	- 0 - 	71.4		1	18		oist, Brown, Lean CLAY wit avel, Trace Interbedded Silt	h CL	2-3-3 N=6	23	© 	* X	4.0	
1070—	 - 5 -			2	17	Loose to Medium Clayey SAND, T	n Dense, Moist to Wet, Brow Trace Gravel	SC SC	3-5-6 N=11	15				LL = 24 PL = 15 Fines=44.5%
				3	18	Lean CLAY, Tra	Stiff, Moist, Brown, Sandy ice Gravel	CL	2-2-4 N=6	141514		× × ×		
1065—	- 10 -			4	15 -	Very Loose, Wet Gravel	, Brown, Clayey SAND with	n sc	2-2-2 N=4	16	© 	*		
	اما	. O. c.l.		,		Professiona	al Service Industries, Ir	nc.	PR	OJE	CT NO.	:	0142-2	571
	ע ונ	cert	.Cř			5555 Canal				OJE		New Wes		
Cleveland, OH 44125						LO	CAT	ION:		9th Stre				
	Telephone: (216) 447-1335									Massillon,	Stark C	ounty, Ohio		

DATE			_		6	5/24/22	_ DRILL COMPANY: _ DRILLER: TS		SI, In				BORII	NG F	3-22
DATE						6/24/22	LOGGED								
		TION DEPTH 10.0 ft DRILL RIG: ARK: N/A DRILLING METHOD: ON: 1080 ft SAMPLING METHOD:									Water ✓ — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	While Drillin		N/A N/A	
												X X	Upon Comp		
									2-in				Caved Dep	ın	6.0 feet
LATIT					40.78		_ HAMMER TYPE:	Auto 939		IC	_	Pavement	OCATION:		
LONG			V/A		OFFS	63747° SET: N/A	EFFICIENCY REVIEWED BY:		/0 .V		_	- avernerit			
REMA	_		W/A		OFF	DEIN/A	_ KEVIEWED B1		· v		_				
										<u> </u>		STANDA	RD PENETR	ATION	
Elevation (feet)	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)	MATI	ERIAL DESCRIPTIC	DN SG	OSCS CIASSIIICATION	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	N × Moi	rest data in blows/ft © sture 25 RENGTH, tsf	PL LL 50	Additional Remarks
	- 0 - 			1	17		Stiff, Moist, Brown, Lean ome Sand, Trace Interbed		ıL.	6-4-5 N=9	12		*	4.0	
1075—	 - 5 - 			2	16	7 Coff Maint Day	Lang Cl AV with Con			2-3-2 N=5	13	\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	*		
				3	13		wn, Lean CLAY with Sar race Interbedded Silt Sear		L	3-1-2 N=3	16				
1070-	- 10 -			4	18		own, Lean CLAY , Trace S race Rock Fragments	Sand, C	L	1-4-8 N=12	12	🎉	×		
	io	tack	<u>ای</u>	,		Profession	al Service Industries	s, Inc.	•	PF	ROJE	ECT NO.:	•	0142-25	71
	U I	tert	ای.			5555 Cana	al Road	.,				ECT:	New West		
			5			Cleveland,	OH 44125			LC	OCA	TION:	250 29	Oth Stree	t, NW
						Telephone	: (216) 447-1335					_	Massillon,	Stark Co	unty, Ohio

DATE					ç	9/26/22	DRILL COMP			PSI, Ir				R)RIN	IG I	B-23
DATE						9/26/22	DRILLER:	TS	LOGG			_	<u> </u>		e Drillin		11.0 feet
						17.3 ft N/A	DRILL RIG: DRILLING MI					_		Z Upoi	n Comp		N/A
BENC ELEV	ATION	ir I:			10	73 ft	SAMPLING N							_	ed Dept		N/A
LATIT						6412°	HAMMER TY	PE:		Automa	tic		BORIN	G LOCA			
LONG	ITUDE				-81.5	61229°	EFFICIENCY		!	93%			Detenti	on Basin	l		
STAT	_	N	l/A		OFFS	SET: N/A	REVIEWED B	SY:		AV							
REMA	KKS:								1		<u> </u>		CTAN		CNETD	ATION	
Elevation (feet)	o Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)	MATE	RIAL DESCI	RIPTION	١	USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	× 1	STRENG Qu	DATA ws/ft	PL LL 50 Qp	Additional Remarks
1070				1	15	Medium Stiff to S CLAY, Trace Sar		n, Lean		CL	5-6-7 N=13	18			•		LL = 36 PL = 21 Fines=95.3%
	- 5 -		\bigvee	2	13	Soft, Moist, Gray,	Lean CLAY w	ith Sand	Trace		3-3-4 N=7	16		×			
1065	 			3	10	Gravel	Lean OLAT W	itii Sanu,	Trace		1-1-2 N=3	19	0	×			
	 - 10 -			4	16 <u>\</u>	<u>Z</u>				CL	WT-1-2 N=3	21		×			
1060	 			5	5	Extremely Soft, G Clay Seams, High	ray, SHALE , wi nly Weathered	th Interbed	dded		53	9	×			>>©	
				6	3	Auger Refusal @	17 ft				50/4"	9	×			>>@	
						Drofossions	I Conico Ind	luotrico	Inc		-		OT NO	_		04.40.05	.74
	M	cert	ek	(Professiona 5555 Canal		เนรแเยร,	IIIC.			OJE OJE	CT NO. CT:			0142-25 Side PK	-3 School
Cleveland, OH 44125								ION:			th Stree						
						Telephone:		1335						Mas	sillon, S	Stark Co	ounty, Ohio

DATE S			_		ç	9/26/22		DRILL CO				PSI, I					RORI	NG	B-24
DATE C						9/26/22		DRILLER				ED BY			_				
						12.6 ft		DRILL RI						_	Ē		Vhile Drill Ipon Com		8.0 feet
BENCH		_				N/A		DRILLING	3 METH	OD: _	Hol	low Ste			Water	_	aved De	•	5.0 feet N/A
ELEVAT LATITUI					40.78	66 ft		SAMPLIN HAMMER	TVDE:	HOD: _		2-in utoma	SS tio	_			CATION:		IN/A
LONGIT		.—				61159°		EFFICIEN	ICY			93%	llic	_		tion Ba			
STATIO			l/A		OFFS			REVIEWE	D BY					_					
REMAR			,,,									, , ,							
ш	Depth, (feet)	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Sample No.	Recovery (inches)		TEF	RIAL DES	SCRIP	TION		USCS Classification	SPT Blows per 6-inch (SS)	Moisture, %	× 0	TE N in Moistu	25 NGTH, ts	D PL LL	Additional Remarks
1065	0			1	17	4" Topsoil Stiff to Very S Trace Sand,				n CLAY	,		2-5-6 N=11	16		@×			
1060-	5			2	15 <u>\</u>	<u>.</u>						CL	12-13-12 N=25	13		* -	•		LL = 29 PL = 19 Fines=85.9%
-	10		X	3	18 	Extremely So Weathered	ft, Br	own, Sani	DSTONE	≣, Highly			4-4-16 N=20 4-50/4"	15 19		× @ ×		>>	•
1055—			X	5	1	_\Auger Refusa	al @ '	12.5 ft					50/1"	13		*		>>	©
	iot	act	ها	,		Profession	nal	Service	Indust	ries, Ir	ıc.		PR	OJE	CT N	D.:		0142-2	2571
	UIC	ert	C I			5555 Ca	nal l	Road		-,				OJE		_	lew Wes		K-3 School
			5			Clevelan							LO	CAT	ION:			9th Stre	
						Telephor	ne:	(216) 44	7-133	5							Massillon	Stark C	County, Ohio





5555 Canal Road Cleveland, OH 44125 Telephone: (216) 447-1335


Fax: (216) 642-7008


Project: New West Side PK-3 School


PSI Job No.: 0142-2571


Location: 250 29th Street, NW

Massillon, Stark County, Ohio











GENERAL NOTES

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION

The Unified Soil Classification System (USCS), AASHTO 1988 and ASTM designations D2487 and D-2488 are used to identify the encountered materials unless otherwise noted. Coarse-grained soils are defined as having more than 50% of their dry weight retained on a #200 sieve (0.075mm); they are described as: boulders, cobbles, gravel or sand. Fine-grained soils have less than 50% of their dry weight retained on a #200 sieve; they are defined as silts or clay depending on their Atterberg Limit attributes. Major constituents may be added as modifiers and minor constituents may be added according to the relative proportions based on grain size.

DRILLING AND SAMPLING SYMBOLS

SFA: Solid Flight Auger - typically 4" diameter flights,

except where noted.

HSA: Hollow Stem Auger - typically 31/4" or 41/4 I.D. openings, except where noted.

M.R.: Mud Rotary - Uses a rotary head with Bentonite or Polymer Slurry

R.C.: Diamond Bit Core Sampler

H.A.: Hand Auger

P.A.: Power Auger - Handheld motorized auger

SOIL PROPERTY SYMBOLS

N: Standard "N" penetration: Blows per foot of a 140 pound hammer falling 30 inches on a 2-inch O.D. Split-Spoon.

noted.

BS: Bulk Sample

PM: Pressuremeter

Readings

N₆₀: A "N" penetration value corrected to an equivalent 60% hammer energy transfer efficiency (ETR)

Q,: Unconfined compressive strength, TSF

Q_p: Pocket penetrometer value, unconfined compressive strength, TSF

w%: Moisture/water content, %

LL: Liquid Limit, %

PL: Plastic Limit, %

PI: Plasticity Index = (LL-PL),%

DD: Dry unit weight, pcf

▼.♡.▼ Apparent groundwater level at time noted

RELATIVE DENSITY OF COARSE-GRAINED SOILS **ANGULARITY OF COARSE-GRAINED PARTICLES**

Relative Density	N - Blows/foot	<u>Description</u>	<u>Criteria</u>
Very Loose	0 - 4	Angular:	Particles have sharp edges and relatively plane sides with unpolished surfaces
Loose Medium Dense	4 - 10 10 - 30	Subangular:	Particles are similar to angular description, but have rounded edges
Dense Very Dense	30 - 50 50 - 80	Subrounded:	Particles have nearly plane sides, but have well-rounded corners and edges
Extremely Dense	80+	Rounded:	Particles have smoothly curved sides and no edges

GRAIN-SIZE TERMINOLOGY

PARTICLE SHAPE

Component	Size Range	<u>Description</u>	Criteria
Boulders:	Over 300 mm (>12 in.)	Flat:	Particles with width/thickness ratio > 3
Cobbles:	75 mm to 300 mm (3 in. to 12 in.)	Elongated:	Particles with length/width ratio > 3
Coarse-Grained Gravel:	19 mm to 75 mm (¾ in. to 3 in.)	Flat & Elongated:	Particles meet criteria for both flat and
Fine-Grained Gravel:	4.75 mm to 19 mm (No.4 to 3/4 in.)		elongated
Coarse-Grained Sand:	2 mm to 4.75 mm (No.10 to No.4)		
Medium-Grained Sand:	0.42 mm to 2 mm (No.40 to No.10)	<u>RELATIVE I</u>	PROPORTIONS OF FINES

Fine-Grained Sand: 0.075 mm to 0.42 mm (No. 200 to No.40)

Silt: 0.00Gmm to 0.075 mm Clay: <0.00G { Áţ Á⊾€Ì€€Í mmÁå^] ^} åã; * Áţ Áæt ^} &î

Trace: < 5% With: 5% to 12% Modifier: >12%

Descriptive Term % Dry Weight

SS: Split-Spoon - 1 3/8" I.D., 2" O.D., except where

ST: Shelby Tube - 3" O.D., except where noted.

CPT-U: Cone Penetrometer Testing with Pore-Pressure

Page 1 of 2



GENERAL NOTES (Continued)

CONSISTENCY OF FINE-GRAINED SOILS MOISTURE CONDITION DESCRIPTION

Q _u - TSF 0 - 0.25 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 1.00 1.00 - 2.00 2.00 - 4.00 4.00 - 8.00 8.00+	N - Blows/foot 0 - 2 2 - 4 4 - 8 8 - 15 15 - 30 30 - 50 50+	Consistency Very Soft Soft Firm (Medium Stiff) Stiff Very Stiff Hard Very Hard	Moist: Damp but no Wet: Visible free w RELATIVE PROPOI Descriptive Term Trace: With:	RTIONS OF SAND AND GRAVEL **S Dry Weight** < 15% 15% to 30%
			Modifier:	>30%

STRUCTURE DESCRIPTION

Description	Criteria	Description	Criteria
Stratified:	Alternating layers of varying material or color with	Blocky:	Cohesive soil that can be broken down into small
	layers at least 1/4-inch (6 mm) thick		angular lumps which resist further breakdown
Laminated:	Alternating layers of varying material or color with	Lensed:	Inclusion of small pockets of different soils
	layers less than 1/4-inch (6 mm) thick	Layer:	Inclusion greater than 3 inches thick (75 mm)
Fissured:	Breaks along definite planes of fracture with little	Seam:	Inclusion 1/8-inch to 3 inches (3 to 75 mm) thick
	resistance to fracturing		extending through the sample
Slickensided:	Fracture planes appear polished or glossy, sometimes striated	Parting:	Inclusion less than 1/8-inch (3 mm) thick

SCALE OF RELATIVE ROCK HARDNESS ROCK BEDDING THICKNESSES

Q_U - TSF	<u>Consistency</u>	<u>Description</u>	Criteria
_	F. duamak Oaff	Very Thick Bedded	Greater than 3-foot (>1.0 m)
2.5 - 10	Extremely Soft	Thick Bedded	1-foot to 3-foot (0.3 m to 1.0 m)
10 - 50	Very Soft	Medium Bedded	4-inch to 1-foot (0.1 m to 0.3 m)
50 - 250	Soft	Thin Bedded	11/4-inch to 4-inch (30 mm to 100 mm)
250 - 525	Medium Hard	Very Thin Bedded	1/2-inch to 11/4-inch (10 mm to 30 mm)
525 - 1,050	Moderately Hard	Thickly Laminated	1/8-inch to ½-inch (3 mm to 10 mm)
1,050 - 2,600 >2 600	Hard Very Hard	Thinly Laminated	1/8-inch or less "paper thin" (<3 mm)
27 DUU	verv maro		

ROCK VOIDS

Voids	Void Diameter	(Typically Sedi	mentary Rock)
	<6 mm (<0.25 in)	Component	Size Range
	6 mm to 50 mm (0.25 in to 2 in)	Very Coarse Grained	>4.76 mm
U	50 mm to 600 mm (2 in to 24 in)	Coarse Grained	2.0 mm - 4.76 mm
,	>600 mm (>24 in)	Medium Grained	0.42 mm - 2.0 mm
Cave	2000 Hilli (224 III)	Fine Grained	0.075 mm - 0.42 mm
		Very Fine Grained	<0.075 mm

ROCK QUALITY DESCRIPTION

DEGREE OF WEATHERING

GRAIN-SIZED TERMINOLOGY

Rock Mass Description	RQD Value	Slightly Weathered:	Rock generally fresh, joints stained and discoloration
Excellent	90 -100		extends into rock up to 25 mm (1 in), open joints may
Good	75 - 90		contain clay, core rings under hammer impact.
Fair	50 - 75		
Poor	25 -50	Weathered:	Rock mass is decomposed 50% or less, significant
Very Poor	Less than 25		portions of the rock show discoloration and weathering effects, cores cannot be broken by hand or scraped by knife.
		Highly Weathered:	Rock mass is more than 50% decomposed, complete discoloration of rock fabric, core may be extremely broken and gives clunk sound when struck by hammer, may be shaved with a knife.

Page 2 of 2

SOIL CLASSIFICATION CHART

NOTE: DUAL SYMBOLS ARE USED TO INDICATE BORDERLINE SOIL CLASSIFICATIONS

MAJOR DIVISIONS			SYMBOLS		TYPICAL
			GRAPH	LETTER	DESCRIPTIONS
	GRAVEL AND	CLEAN GRAVELS		GW	WELL-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
COARSE GRAINED SOILS	GRAVELLY SOILS	(LITTLE OR NO FINES)		GP	POORLY-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
	MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE FRACTION RETAINED ON NO. 4 SIEVE	GRAVELS WITH FINES		GM	SILTY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - SILT MIXTURES
		(APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		GC	CLAYEY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - CLAY MIXTURES
MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS LARGER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	SAND AND SANDY SOILS	CLEAN SANDS		SW	WELL-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES
		(LITTLE OR NO FINES)		SP	POORLY-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SAND, LITTLE OR NO FINES
	MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE FRACTION PASSING ON NO. 4 SIEVE	SANDS WITH FINES		SM	SILTY SANDS, SAND - SILT MIXTURES
		(APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		sc	CLAYEY SANDS, SAND - CLAY MIXTURES
	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT LESS THAN 50		ML	INORGANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS, ROCK FLOUR, SILTY OR CLAYEY FINE SANDS OR CLAYEY SILTS WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY
FINE GRAINED SOILS				CL	INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY CLAYS, SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS, LEAN CLAYS
				OL	ORGANIC SILTS AND ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY
MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS SMALLER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT GREATER THAN 50		МН	INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS FINE SAND OR SILTY SOILS
				СН	INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY
				ОН	ORGANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY, ORGANIC SILTS
н	SOILS	7/2 7/2 7/2 7/2 7/2 /2/2 /2/2 /2/2 /2/2	РТ	PEAT, HUMUS, SWAMP SOILS WITH HIGH ORGANIC CONTENTS	

